


Members' Assembly and Community Exchange 2025 Survey Summary

This report provides a summary of results from the anonymous participants survey of the IATI Members' Assembly and Community Exchange 2025 (Nairobi, 26-27 November).

Survey Respondents

- We received **19 survey** responses
- **16** respondents attended both days, with two attending only the Community Exchange and one the Members' Assembly only.
- **15** respondents attended in-person, with three fully virtual and one hybrid.
- Respondents familiarity with IATI was generally strong:
 - Advanced (6), Moderate–High (8), and Low–Moderate (5)
- Respondents represented:
 - partner country governments (7) civil society organisations (4) development partner governments (3) multilateral organisations (2) academia/think tanks (2) and private sector (1)

Which constituency does your organisation represent? /Quelle catégorie représente votre organisation ?/¿Qué grupo representa su organizac...Qual é o grupo que a sua organização representa?
19 responses




Overall satisfaction - ratings were consistently positive

- **The Members' Assembly averaged 4.65/5 which improved from 3.94 / 5 MA 2024 (Bogata, 24- 26 April)**
 - Community Exchange content coverage averaged 4.21/5 which improved from 4.10 / 5 3.94 / 5 MA 2024 (Bogata, 24- 26 April)
 - The MA agenda scored 4.59/5
 - Networking 4.16/5
 - Interactive elements 4.00/5—good, with room to further increase interactivity.
- **Venue satisfaction in Nairobi was high (4.53/5) while Zoom performed acceptably (3.67/5).**
- Interpretation services averaged 3.94/5

I found the IATI Members' Assembly was.../J'ai trouvé que l'Assemblée des membres de l'IITA était.../ Considero que la Asamblea de Miem.../ Achei que a Assembleia de Membros da IATI foi...

17 responses



What people came for

- The most-cited motivations were to **hear from the IATI Secretariat on improving data quality & use / technical estate** (15 mentions),
 - **networking** (13)
 - **hearing from other community members** (13)
 - **learning more about IATI** (12)
-

Strengths cited by respondents

- **Positive energy & useful connections.** Multiple respondents praised the event's forward-looking tone and the value of in-person networking:
 - “The vibe was positive, much lighter and focused on a better future...”,
 - “came back with new inspiration and new contacts”
 - **Substantive content & Secretariat updates.** High scores for MA and agenda quality reflect appreciation for structured updates and community
 - **Logistics on-site.** Several noted the benefit of having the venue and hotel co-located or nearby.
-

Areas to improve

1. **More time for networking and interactivity.** Calls for another day of Community Exchange and for fewer speeches / more interactive sessions (breakouts, discussion groups). Networking after Day 2 was missed by some: *“Less speeches, more interactive sessions.”* *“Previously there were multiple days for the community exchange... there could be another day!”*
2. **Pre-event clarity for less-involved members.** A few respondents asked for clear, plain-language summaries of key documents and decision points shared well in advance. *“It would be much better if we could receive the materials well in advance.”* *“An overview/summary of documents with important decision points would have been helpful.”*
3. **Inclusion & access.** Requests for support to help CSOs attend in person, plus suggestions to hold the venue closer to the main town rather than the airport for easier access. *“Consider a venue near the main town rather than the airport.”*
4. **Community outreach & advocacy.** Suggestions for local mobilisation/awareness events (e.g., Burundi) and continued advocacy on transparency and accountability, including visible senior leadership from both donor and partner countries.
5. **Tech & interpretation.** Zoom worked “okay” but not brilliantly (3.67/5). Interpretation averaged just under “very good,” with scope to keep refining.